

ICS Reg.

22 AUG 1985

DCI/ICS 85-4089
12 August 1985

22 AUG 1985

LOGGED

CONF

MEMORANDUM FOR: DD/ICS

VIA: C/IHC

FROM: [REDACTED] IHC

STAT

SUBJECT: Sole Source Justification for
Pymatuning Contract

REFERENCE: Telephone Conversation on 7 Aug 85 between
[REDACTED]

STAT

1. Action Requested: Your signature on the attached letter to [REDACTED] which requests a sole source justification for Phase III of the Pymatuning contract for the computer security (COMPUSEC) project.

STAT

2. Background: Per referent, the CIA contracting officer has requested that you forward the attached item. You signed the original paperwork for the Pymatuning proposal and forwarded the package on 17 May 1985. Since that time, CIA has been auditing Pymatuning's accounts to validate [REDACTED] proposed increase in daily expenditures from \$1,049 to \$1,500 per day. The audit by CIA is nearing completion, and the contracting officer is beginning final negotiations with Pymatuning regarding signing of the contract.

STAT

3. The contracting officer now feels that a new contract should be developed for Phase III and has therefore requested the sole source justification. When he received the package in May 1985, the contracting officer felt the new Phase III would be added to the current sole source contract.

4. [REDACTED] has limited her work on the COMPUSEC project pending the completion of the audit and the signing of the new contract. Since these administrative actions have delayed Phase III of the COMPUSEC efforts by some four months, [REDACTED] has requested a no cost contract extension to the Phase III effort. This will establish a Phase III performance period from 5 April 1985 to 15 August 1986. The CIA contracting officer verbally agrees to this modification.


STAT

STAT

UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT: Sole Source Justification for
Pymatuning Contract

5. Recommendation: Request your signature on the attached items.



STAT

Attachments:
As stated

UNCLASSIFIED

DCI/ICS 85-4090

AUG 16 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR:

[REDACTED]

STAT

FROM:

Eloise R. Page
COTR

SUBJECT:

Sole Source Justification for Phase III of
COMPUSEC Contract with Pymatuning, Incorporated

1. Per your request, attached is sole source justification for Pymatuning, Incorporated to continue as the contractor for Phase III of the DCI's computer security (COMPUSEC) project.

2. Although [REDACTED] began some tasks on this effort starting 5 April 1985, there has been very little activity on the project pending final negotiation of the contract. Accordingly, I request that the Phase III effort begin on 5 April 1985 and end on 15 August 1986. Per my discussions with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] this can be accomplished with no additional cost to the government.

STAT

STAT

STAT

3. Your support in this effort is appreciated.

STAT

[REDACTED]

Eloise R. Page

Attachment:

As stated

UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT: Sole Source Justification for Phase III of
COMPUSEC Contract with Pymatuning, Incorporated

Distribution:

Orig - Adse

1 - DD/ICS

1 - AS/Finance

1 - IHC Subject (LGS)

1 - IHC Chrono

ICS/IHC, (12 Aug 85)

STAT

12 August 1985

JUSTIFICATION FOR OTHER THAN FULL AND OPEN
COMPETITION INVOLVING A NON-COMPETITIVE
PROCUREMENT

Reference: Requisition number 1502-85-0044

1. Contract Component: Intelligence Community Staff
Office of the Deputy Director
Miss Eloise R. Page, Deputy Director

2. Nature and/or description of the action being approved.

This sole source justification is for [] to continue her efforts as the Director of the DCI's Computer Security (COMPUSEC) project. In addition to the overall management responsibility she has for the DCI's project, [] will provide analytic services and develop draft and final reports in the following areas: (a) the foreign and domestic threats to automated information systems, (b) the DCI's roles and responsibilities under Presidential Directive [] which deals with computer security, (c) guidelines for development of security features in supercomputers processing intelligence information, and (d) drafting of proposed DCI minimum standards for computer security.

STAT

STAT

STAT

3. Description of supplies or services requested to meet Agency's needs (including the estimated value).

- o Director of DCI's Computer Security Project with management responsibility for the overall direction and coordination of the effort.
- o Analytical services and development of reports to the DCI and senior managers of the Intelligence Community as stated in the 14 May 1985 Pymatuning proposal.
- o Estimated value of this effort is \$160,000.

4. Identification of the regulatory authority permitting other than full and open competition:

FAR Number

6.302-1

Title of exception

Only one responsible source

5. Define the proposed contractor's unique qualifications or the nature of the acquisition that requires use of the authority cited.

This sole source justification is for the continuation of a contractual agreement with Pymatuning, Incorporated for the services of [] who is the Director of the DCI's special project on computer security (COMPUSEC). [] is uniquely qualified to perform the required tasks because of her extensive experience in the computer field at very high levels at several government agencies including the Departments of Energy and Defense and the National Bureau of Standards. [] has an outstanding international reputation as a computer expert and is highly respected for her management skills, energy, and integrity.

STAT
STAT

STAT

The proposed contractual efforts are for Phase III of the COMPUSEC project. Pymatuning, Incorporated has been the contractor for this DCI-sponsored project for Phases I and II of the effort. In recognition of [] unique ability, she was appointed the Director of the COMPUSEC project by the DCI in May 1983. The senior managers of the Community concurred with [] as the Director of the COMPUSEC project.

STAT

STAT

6. A description of efforts made to ensure that offers are solicited from as many potential sources as practicable.

No inquiries were made to any other company. [] has been the Project Director for Phases I and II of the effort; she is uniquely qualified to head Phase III of the project.

STAT

7. A description of the market survey conducted (see FAR 7.101) and the results or a statement of the reasons a market survey was not conducted.

None; see number 6 above.

8. Any other facts supporting the use of other than full and open competition, such as:

(i) Explanation of why technical data packages, specifications, engineering descriptions, statements of work, or purchase descriptions suitable for full and open competition have not been developed or are not available.

(ii) When 6.302-1 is cited for follow-on acquisitions as described in 6.302-1 (b) (2), an estimate of the cost that would be duplicated and how the estimate was derived.

(iii) When 6.302-2 is cited, data, estimated cost, or other rationale as to the extent and nature of the harm to the government.

None; It is not in the best interest of the government to change contractors for Phase III of the COMPUSEC project. In addition to the estimated \$400,000 that the government has expended in COMPUSEC contractual efforts with Pymatuning, another estimated \$500,000 of government employees efforts have been spent on working groups over the past two years in support of the DCI's COMPUSEC project. [] has coordinated these efforts. Selection of another contractor would necessitate a replication of much of this work and expense.

STAT
STAT

9. A listing of the sources, if any, that expressed, in writing, an interest in the acquisition.

No firm indicated an interest other than Pymatuning, Incorporated for the reasons stated above.

10. A statement of the actions, if any, the agency may take to remove or overcome any barriers to competition before any subsequent acquisition for the supplies or services required.

None.

I hereby certify the accuracy and completeness of this justification for other than full and open competition as indicated on this form and any attached sheets.



Eloise R. Page, Deputy Director/ICS

COGNIZANT TECHNICAL OFFICER

STAT

Contracting Officer

\$ 25,000 to \$100,000

Level Above
Contracting Officer

\$100,000 to \$10,000,000

Competition Advocate or
Head of Procurement Activity

\$10,000,000 and above

Senior Procurement
Executive